EVALUATION OF THE BENTLEY SOMACOUNT FCMTM SOMATIC CELLS COUNTER ON EWE AND GOAT MILK

The Somacount FCM, manufactured and distributed by Bentley Instruments, is an apparatus which permits the enumeration of somatic cells in milk. It works using the fluoro-opto-electronic method with the following principle: in a first time, the test portion is mixed to a dye (detergent buffered solution of ehydium bromure) in order to disperse fat globules and to dye somatic cell nuclei. An aliquot is then injected into a laminar flow carrier fluid. The cells stained are separated by the flow and are exposed to the light beam of a laser and consequently emit a fluorescent light. The luminous impulses emitted by the somatic cells are then detected and amplified thanks a photomultiplier tube. Only impulses, whose the intensities are beyond to a threshold, are counted and converted in term of cellular concentration using a calibration equation.

The instrument is composed of two separate counting units which operate alternately. The apparatus is connected to a computer that ensures the signal treatment.

The tests:

The evaluation tests were performed in Actilait-Cecalait's physico-chemistry laboratory (reference and instrumental analyses) from December 2009 to February 2010. The repeatability and the accuracy were evaluated.

The appreciation criteria of the estimated parameters were taken from ISO 13366-2/IDF 148: 2007, or from the CNIEL/IE handbook concerning the use of somatic cells counters within the context of milk payment and milk control in France.

The following instrumental parameters were used:

- Rate: 500 samples / hour;
- No correction of contamination;
- Use in combined mode with the Bentley FTS infrared analyser (draining assistance).

A- EWE MILK

A1- Samples

The tests were performed using 100 samples of milk from the Roquefort region (12). Bronopol was added to the samples to give a final concentration of 0.02%.

A2- Repeatability

A2.1- Procedure

The repeatability of the instrument was evaluated using all the milk samples. The quantitative determination were performed in automatic analysis mode, in duplicate for each set of 10 samples according to the following sequence: (Set 1 rep 1 -Set 1 rep 2 -Set 2 rep 1 -Set 2 rep 2 -Set n rep 1 -Set n rep 2). A control milk was analysed every 30 samples to verify the stability of the analyser.

A part of the samples was also analysed by the Bentley Somacount 150 (SCC 150) somatic cells counter.

A2.2- Results

The table below presents the results obtained:

	n	min	Max	Μ	Sx	Sr	Sr (%)	r
BLUE CANAL	100	103	1788	540	314	15.2	2.8	42
RED CANAL	100	105	1807	555	323	13.4	2.4	37
SCC 150	79	123	1808	554	330	9.5	1.7	26

<u>Table 1</u>: FCM repeatability criteria on ewe milk samples

n: number of results; min and max: minimum and maximum value; M and Sx: mean and standard deviation of the results; Sr and Sr%: absolute and relative standard deviation of repeatability; r: maximum deviation of repeatability in 95% of cases

ARTICLE

A2.3- Conclusion

With no regulation for milk payment to quality for this type of milk, it can be noted that the relative standard deviations of repeatability obtained are in accordance with the recommendations of the method ISO 13366-2/IDF 148: 2007, which fixes a maximum limit to 4% (mean level $450.10^{E}03/ml$) and with the recommendations of the manufacturer (< 5%).

A3- Evaluation of the accuracy

A3.1- Procedure

The accuracy of the analyser was evaluated using a part of the samples. The quantitative analyses were performed in accordance with the evaluation of the repeatability (cf B2.1). The evaluation concerns the values obtained after calibration of the instrument with commercial SRMs (cow milk) produced by Actilait-Cecalait.

The reference method ISO 13366-1/IDF 148: 2007 was used for the enumeration of the somatic cells by microscope counting.

A3.2- Results

The following tables and figures present the results obtained:

	BLUE CANAL	RED CANAL	SCC 150	COMPARISON BLUE CANAL / SCC 150
n	8	0	60	60
min	10)3	172	123
max	16	25	1625	1808
Y (10 ^E 03/ml)	50)7	532	559
X (10 ^E 03/ml)	537	550	559	555
Sy (10 ^E 03/ml)	28	34	292	338
d (10 ^E 03/ml)	30	42	28	-4
Sd (10 ^E 03/ml)	58	62	65	19
Sy,x	46	46	43	19
Sy,x (%)	9.1	9.0	8.2	3.4
b	0.887	0.868	0.856	1.014
а	31	30	53	-4
Sy,x	48	47	49	19
Sy,x' (%)	9.4	9.3	9.2	3.4
b'	0.930	0.909	0.926	1.009

Table 2: FCM accuracy criteria on ewe milk samples

n, min, max: number of results, minimum and maximum value; Y,X: mean of the results using the reference and instrumental methods; Sy: standard deviation of the results from the reference method; d, Sd: mean and standard deviation of deviations; Sy,x (Sy,x%): absolute and relative residual standard deviation; b, a: slope and intercept of the linear regression; Sy,x' (Sy,x%): absolute and relative standard deviation forced by zero; b': slope of the linear regression forced by zero





Figures 1 and 2: Relation between FCM and reference results on ewe milk samples (regression forced by zero)



Figure 3: Relation between FCM and SCC 150 results on ewe milk samples (regressions forced by zero)

It can be noted that the means and standard deviations of deviations, which are about $-35.10^{E}03/ml$ and $60.10^{E}03/ml$ respectively, are equivalent between the both units in relation to the reference method. The regression lines are significantly different from 1.00 (P = 1%). The residual standard deviations (about $46.10^{E}03/ml$, relative 9.3%) are equivalent. The FCM results are very near to the values obtained with the SCC 150 analyser with a slope not significantly different from 1.00, a deviations mean of $4.10^{E}03/ml$ and a standard deviation of deviations of $19.10^{E}03/ml$.

A.3.3- Conclusion

With no regulation for milk payment and standard limits for this type of milk, it can be noted that the regression line obtained is significantly different from 1.00. The origin of this deviation can be probably due to the nature of the ewe milk somatic cells (the apparatus are calibrated with cow milk SRMs). It can be also noted that the accuracy results obtained (regression line, residual standard deviation and mean bias) are very near of the European FAIR programme results (1997 to 1999) on this type of milk and of the Somacount 150 results.

B- GOAT MILK

B1- Samples

The tests were performed on 90 samples of milk from Poitou-Charentes region (17).

B2- Repeatability

B2.1- Procedure

The repeatability of the instrument was evaluated using all the milk samples. The quantitative analyses were performed in automatic analysis mode, in duplicate for each set of 10 samples according to the following sequence: (Set 1 rep $1 - \text{Set } 1 \text{ rep } 2 - \text{Set } 2 \text{ rep } 1 - \text{Set } 2 \text{ rep } 2 \dots \text{Set } n \text{ rep } 2$). A control milk was analysed every 30 samples to verify the stability of the analyser. As the both units of the instrument operate alternately, each unit was evaluated on the half of the samples.

The samples were also analysed by the Bentley Somacount 150 (SCC 150) somatic cells counter.

B2.2- Results

The following table presents the results obtained:

	n	min	max	Μ	Sx	Sr	Sr (%)	r
GLOBAL	89	415	2713	1479	499	43.4	2.9	120
BLUE CANAL	45	668	2601	1476	507	48.8	3.3	135
RED CANAL	44	415	2713	1482	497	37.0	2.5	102
SCC 150	89	415	2668	1434	461	16.2	1.1	45

Table 3: FCM repeatability criteria on goat milk samples

n: number of results; min and max: minimum and maximum value; M and Sx: mean and standard deviation of the results; Sr and Sr%: absolute and relative standard deviation; r: maximum deviation of repeatability in 95% of cases

B2.3- Conclusion

With no regulation for payment of milk for this type of milk, it can be noted that the global relative standard deviation of repeatability is in conformity with the recommendations of the standardised method ISO 13366-2/IDF 148: 2007, which fixes a maximum limit of 3% (mean level $1500.10^{E}03/ml$).

B3- Evaluation of the accuracy

B3.1- Procedure

The accuracy of the analyser was evaluated using 71 samples (9 on the 80 samples initially selected did not be read by the reference method). The quantitative analyses were performed in accordance with the evaluation of the repeatability (cf C2.1). The evaluation concerned the values obtained after calibration of the instrument with commercial SRMs (cow milk) produced by Actilait-Cecalait.

The reference method ISO 13366-1/IDF 148: 2007 was used for the enumeration of the somatic cells by microscope counting.

B3.2- Results

The following table and figures present the results obtained:

	CLOBAL	BLUE	RED	SCC 150	COMPARISON GLOBAL
	GLUDAL	CANAL	CANAL	SCC 150	APPARATUS / SCC 150
n	71	35	36	71	89
min (10 ^E 03/ml)	293	588	293	293	415
max (10 ^E 03/ml)	2339	2024	2339	2339	2668
Y (10 ^E 03/ml)	1178	1186	1171	1178	1434
X (10 ^E 03/ml)	1391	1389	1392	1344	1479
Sy (10 ^E 03/ml)	366	359	379	366	461
d (10 ^E 03/ml)	212	203	221	165	45
Sd (10 ^E 03/ml)	147	161	133	124	111
Sy,x (10 ^E 03/ml)	127	138	118	113	100
Sy,x (%)	10.8	11.6	10.1	9.6	7.0
b	0.822	0.792	0.850	0.870	0.902
a	35	86	-13	9	99
Sy,x ' $(10^{E}03/ml)$	127	138	117	113	104
Sy,x' (%)	10.8	11.6	10.0	9.6	7.2
b'	0.845	0.849	0.842	0.876	0.963

Table 4: FCM accuracy criteria on goat milk samples

n, min, max: number of results, minimum and maximum value; Y,X: mean of the results using the reference and instrumental method; Sy: standard deviation of the results from the reference method; d, Sd: mean and standard deviation of deviations; Sy,x (Sy,x%): absolute and relative residual standard deviation; b, a: slope and intercept of the linear regression; Sy,x' (Sy,x'%): absolute and relative residual standard deviation of forced by zero; b': slope of the linear regression forced by zero

ARTICLE









Figure 7: Relation between FCM and SCC 150 results on goat milk samples (regressions forced by zero)

It can be noted that the slope equal to 0.845 is significantly different from 1.00 (P = 1%). The residual standard deviation of regression is equal to 127 (relative 10,8%). These values are equivalent to the values obtained with the SCC 150 analyser.

As the samples analysed by the both units concern two different under-populations, their characteristics (mean and standard deviation next) are equivalent. The results and performances obtained by the both canals are then comparable.

B.3.3- Conclusion

With no regulation for milk payment and standard for this type of milk, it can be noted that the regression line obtained is significantly different from 1.00. The origin of this deviation can be probably due to the nature of the goat milk somatic cells (the apparatus are calibrated with cow milk SRMs). It can be also noted that the accuracy results obtained (regression line, residual standard deviation and mean bias) are very near of the European FAIR programme results (1997 to 1999) on this type of milk.

According to the evaluation report of the Bentley FCM^{TM} somatic cells counter on ewe and goat milk - X. QUERVEL and Ph. TROSSAT – Actilait / Cecalait – April 2010